

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VAHAN JALADIAN,

Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-1304 LKK CMK P

vs.

C.A. HUGHES, et al.,

Defendants. ORDER

---

On September 15, 2005, plaintiff filed a “motion for extensions of time to compel defendants for productions of documents/interrogatories [sic].” That document was not served on defendants. Plaintiff is advised that every document submitted to the court for consideration must be served on defendants. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5. Documents not to be served electronically are usually served by placing a copy in the U.S. mail. If an attorney has filed a document with the court on behalf of any defendants, then documents submitted by plaintiff must be served on that attorney and not on the defendants. Every document submitted conventionally to the court (e.g., by a prisoner proceeding pro se) must include a certificate stating the date an accurate copy of the document was mailed to defendants or their attorney and the address to which it was mailed.

See Local Rule 5-135(b) and (c).

////

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's September 15, 2005  
2 "motion for extensions of time to compel defendants for productions of documents/interrogatories  
3 [sic]" is denied without prejudice. Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to properly serve any  
4 documents subsequently filed in this action, and failure to include a proper certificate of service  
5 with such filing, may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

6 DATED: September 21, 2005.

7   
8 CRAIG M. KELLISON  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26